







This lesson plan is designed as a **Strategic Consultant Challenge**. It allows students to engage with the complex data from the [Eastleigh Voice report](#) while staying safe and focusing on high-level solutions.

 **Lesson Plan: The Digital Wildlife Crisis Primary Source:** *Eastleigh Voice* – Facebook Linked to Surge in Global Illegal Wildlife Trade **Grade Level:** 8–12 | **Target Subjects:** Science, Economics, Social Studies

Learning Objectives

- **Analyze** the "commodification" of endangered species on social media platforms.
- **Evaluate** the "True Cost" of the trade by connecting digital sales to ecosystem collapse.
- **Design** safe, non-confrontational data advocacy projects to assist global law enforcement.

Phase 1: The Digital Economy of Poaching

- **Focus:** How technology accelerates the illegal market.
- **The Data Point:** 74% of illegal wildlife ads are on Facebook; 84% involve Appendix I species.
- **The Algorithm Problem:** Discuss how "Suggested Groups" create an automated feedback loop for buyers.
- **Commodification:** Analyze how a living animal is transformed into a "digital product" (e.g., using code words like "white plastic" for ivory or "jelly" for rhino horn to bypass filters).
- **Critical Thinking Question:** If a physical market is limited by who can walk through the door, how does a digital platform change the "Speed of Sale" for a poacher in a remote area?  
 - **Answer (Teacher Only):** In a physical market, a seller faces geographic and logistical barriers. They typically need to:
 - **Transport** illegal goods to a specific location (like a market stall). 
 - **Risk detection** by crossing physical borders or checkpoints. 
 - **Use middlemen** with local connections to find buyers. 

- Digital platforms remove these barriers by allowing **Instant Global Reach**. A poacher can post an ad from a remote area and reach a buyer across the world in seconds. This eliminates the need for a physical "storefront" and allows the seller to remain anonymous, drastically increasing the **Speed of Sale**.

Phase 2: Ecological Fallout & The "True Cost"

- **Focus:** The physical consequences of digital transactions.
- **Keystone Species Analysis:** Research how removing "Appendix I" species (like the African Elephant) disrupts water access, seed dispersal, and social structures in the wild.
- **The "Empty Forest" Syndrome:** Discuss the concept of a forest that looks intact but is ecologically "silent" because its large mammals have been traded away.
- **Economic Tension:** Compare the one-time \$20,000 poaching profit to the \$1.6M+ in lifetime eco-tourism value a living elephant provides to its local community. 💰 🤔

Phase 3: Strategic Advocacy (Safe Assistance)

- **Focus:** Empowering students through data and policy without personal risk.
- **Trend Identification:** Students research emerging "Red Flags" (coded language, blurred backgrounds, specific emojis) and compile them into a public awareness guide.
- **The "Duty of Care" Pitch:** Groups draft a 3-point "Safety Memo" to a tech company's board, proposing specific algorithmic changes (e.g., automated pop-up warnings for wildlife searches).
- **Official Liaison:** Instruction on reporting findings directly to organizations like the *Wildlife Justice Commission* or using platform-specific "Report" tools, while strictly avoiding direct contact with sellers. 🛡️ ⚖️

Final Discussion & Teacher Guidance

- **Safety Memo Challenge:** If a student proposes that the algorithm should flag "white plastic" as a suspicious term, what is one challenge the tech company might face in ensuring they aren't accidentally blocking legitimate ads? 🧩 🤖
 - **Answer (Teacher Only):** The **False Positive** ❌ conflict. Flagging "white plastic" would accidentally block legitimate ads for chairs 🪑, bins 📦, or toys 🧸. This hurts the company's reputation and creates a massive backlog for human moderators to review.



Strategic Thinking for Students To help students move toward high-level solutions, ask:
"Instead of just looking at the words 'white plastic,' what other 'data clues' could a consultant tell the algorithm to look for to prove an ad is actually for illegal ivory?" (Hint: Think about the price, the location of the seller, or the type of group where the ad is posted!) 